

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

**SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT
UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
NOTICE AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR REMOTE APPEARANCES**

You may appear at your court hearing either (1) in-person or (2) remotely by video or telephone. If you fail to appear in-person or remotely by video or telephone, the court may proceed with the hearing in your absence. *The clerk will NOT contact you.* Remote appearances by video or telephone can be made utilizing the **ZOOM** platform, **effective January 2, 2024**:

- If you are *joining by video*, go to www.zoom.com/join and follow the instructions below:
 - Type in the Meeting ID (*see below for department Meeting IDs and Passcodes*) and click "Join".
 - Click "Launch Meeting" then "Open zoom.us".
 - Zoom will launch and you will be asked for the Meeting Passcode. *Enter the passcode for your Meeting ID for the respective department for your court hearing.*
 - Enable your camera and click "Join".
 - Once you join, a prompt to use computer audio will appear, click "Join with Computer Audio".
 - **Enter your full first and last name TO IDENTIFY YOURSELF TO THE COURT.**
 - Using headphones may help you hear more clearly.
- If you are *joining by phone*, dial 1-(669)254-5252 or 1-(669)216-1590 and enter the Meeting ID and Passcode as described below.

Department 403
Meeting ID: 161 463 0304
Passcode: 114482

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:
<https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1614630304?pwd=OTZ1cVZaQlRYWXPfQ2hTaEFuZnhIZz09>

Department 404
Meeting ID: 161 305 3325
Passcode: 282709

You can also log into your hearing **directly** using the link below:
<https://sftc-org.zoomgov.com/j/1613053325?pwd=SkdXWGVkQkowckJSNnJwSSSttYkR6dz09>

When you join the hearing on Zoom:

1. **You are to mute your audio when you are not speaking.**
2. State your name before you speak for proper identification to the court and for all the parties in your case. Only one person **MUST** speak at a time.

PROHIBITION ON RECORDING: Do not record the hearing in any way. Any recording of a court proceeding, *including screen shots, other visual or audio copying* of the hearing, is **prohibited**. Any violation is punishable to the fullest extent under the law, including but not limited to monetary sanctions up to \$1,000, restricted entry to future hearings, or other sanctions deemed appropriate by the court.

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 JULIAN PRINCE DASH,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 KATE BUENCONSEJO,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FPT-23-378235

)
) Hearing Date: April 23, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 404

)
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
)
)
)

11
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF CHILD CUSTODY, VISITATION (PARENTING TIME)

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 16 1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child
17 Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.
- 18 2) The matter is on for review.
- 19 3) Father filed an updated declaration. Mother did not file an updated declaration.
- 20 4) The Court reminds the parties to follow the February 5, 2024 Findings and Order After Hearing
21 (FOAH) from the January 25, 2024 hearing and holiday timeshare in the March 10, 2023 stipulation.
- 22 5) The parties are reminded of the existing one-year domestic violence restraining order protecting
23 Mother from Father, expiring on November 29, 2024.
- 24 6) The Court finds all matters have been adjudicated and judicial intervention is no longer needed.
- 25 7) The parties may contact Family Court Services on their own for assistance in making changes to
26 custody and / or visitation.
- 27 8) The Court will prepare the order.
- 28
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 TIMOTHY ANDREW CARLBERG,)
7 Petitioner) Case Number: FDI-18-789055
8 VS.) Hearing Date: April 23, 2024
9 AMEENA GILL,) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM
10 Respondent) Department: 404
11) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
12)
13)
14)

15 REQUEST FOR ORDER FOR CHANGE OF VISITATION (PARENTING TIME),
16 HOLIDAY/SCHOOL VACATION/SUMMER SCHEDULE

17 **TENTATIVE RULING**

18 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the
19 Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 20 1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child
21 Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.
22 2) The parties attended mediation and were unable to reach an agreement.
23 3) The parties have one child together, Gavin, age 7.
24 4) Based on the filings, the parties agree Gavin’s timeshare will move to a 2-2-5-5 schedule when school
25 starts in the Fall 2024.
26 a. The current timeshare of 2-2-3-3 will remain until the Fall 2024 school year.
27 b. Child’s custodial time shall not interfere with Father’s alternating Tuesday counseling
28 sessions.
29 5) The Court finds it is in the best interest of the child for the parents to rotate major holidays celebrated
by either side on an odd / even year schedule.
6) Exchanges shall occur at school or the child’s extracurricular activity. If there is no school or
extracurricular activity, exchanges shall occur curbside at the receiving parent’s residence at 3:00PM.

- 1 7) Facetime calls: the non-custodial parent shall have one 15-minute call between 8:30AM and 9:30AM
2 in Gavin's time zone, initiated by the non-custodial parent.
- 3 8) Child's passport shall be provided to the traveling parent no later than 14 days before travel.
- 4 9) The parties are ordered to either meet and confer to memorialize current modified orders with other
5 agreed upon terms or return to mediation for assistance to memorialize and mediate outstanding
6 details.
- 7 10) The parties shall attend mediation with FCS mediator Sonji Waker on Wednesday, 5/8/2024 at 9:00
8 AM to resolve the following issues:
- 9 a. Rotate major holidays celebrated by either side on an odd / even year schedule.
- 10 b. Notification regarding extended summer trips.
- 11 c. Make up time rules when one parent's time is affected by the other parent's travel with child.
- 12 11) The parties agree the September 9, 2019, Findings and Orders After Hearing (FOAH) pertaining to
13 Halloween, Monday holidays, Father's Day, and Mother's Day remain in full force and effect.
- 14 12) The Court finds it is in the best interest of the child for other events and breaks where the parents do
15 not agree, the parties shall revert to 2-2-3 or 2-2-5 after 2024 school year starts.
- 16 a. The parties' respective birthdays will be considered as a regular day.
- 17 b. School year breaks will split between the parties on their timeshare schedule.
- 18 13) The parties shall return to court on Tuesday, 7/2/2024 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404 if they are unable to
19 resolve remaining issues at mediation.
- 20 14) Father's counsel shall prepare the order,
- 21 15) **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10
22 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel
23 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the
24 other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing
25 directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other
26 party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court,
27 Rule 5.125(d).
- 28
- 29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 ANDERS HOFF,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 THOMAS WEI WANG,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-22-797003

)
) Hearing Date: April 23, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 404

)
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
)
)

11
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER: SPOUSAL OR PARTNER SUPPORT, ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the
15 Court makes the following findings and orders:

16 **A. Procedural History**

- 17 1) On for hearing is Respondent's Request for Order filed 2/21/2024 seeking temporary guideline
18 spousal support and \$20,000 in need-based attorney's fees.
- 19 2) On 4/9/2024, Petitioner filed a Responsive Declaration consenting to a spousal support award
20 based on an imputation of income to Respondent. Petitioner also asks the Court to order
21 Respondent to undergo a vocational evaluation to be paid for using community funds and to order
22 Respondent to seek work. Petitioner further requests that Respondent's attorney's fee request
23 payable from Petitioner's separate property be denied, but consents to an equal disbursement of
24 \$20,000 each from the parties' community First Republic account to pay for respective fees.
25 Petitioner also asks for a review hearing in June, at which time Petitioner's income will change to
26 become taxable.
- 27 3) On 4/16/2024, Respondent filed Objections to Petitioner's Responsive Declaration.

28 **B. Findings and Orders**

- 29 1) Base Temporary Spousal Support

- 1 a. In accordance with the attached Dissomaster support calculation attached hereto and
2 incorporated within this order, effective 2/21/2024 (the date Respondent filed his Request
3 for Order), Petitioner shall pay to Respondent \$4,171 per month in temporary base
4 guideline spousal support. One-half shall be due and payable by the 1st and one-half shall
5 be due and payable by the 15th of each month.
- 6 b. Spousal support for April 2024 shall be paid in full no later than 4/26/2024 at 5:00 PM.
- 7 c. Based on the foregoing, Petitioner owes temporary base spousal support arrears of \$1,150
8 for the period 2/21/2024 – 2/29/2024 and \$4,171 for the month of March 2024, for total
9 temporary base spousal support arrears due and owing of \$5,321 for the period 2/21/2024
10 – 3/31/2024. Petitioner shall pay this amount to Respondent no later than 5/15/2024 at
11 5:00 PM.
- 12 d. The attached Dissomaster contains the inputs upon which the parties agree. For the inputs
13 upon which the parties do not agree, the Court makes the following findings and orders:
- 14 i. Petitioner’s request to impute Respondent with full-time minimum wage income
15 is granted. The Court takes judicial notice of the fact that minimum wage income
16 in San Francisco is \$18.07, which averages out to \$3,132 per month.
- 17 ii. Petitioner’s request to impute Respondent with a 3% rate of return on the
18 \$960,000 Respondent is currently holding in accounts under his name is granted.
19 This averages out to \$28,800 per year, or \$2,400 per month.
- 20 iii. The Court finds, based on Respondent’s Income and Expense Declaration, that
21 he pays \$437 per month out of pocket for COBRA health insurance coverage.
- 22 iv. Based on the paystubs attached to Petitioner’s Income and Expense Declaration,
23 the Court finds that Petitioner contributes \$1,064 per month to his 401(k) and \$62
24 is deducted per month for dental and medical insurance.
- 25 v. Petitioner reports paying \$1,811 per month in property tax expenses and \$3,085
26 per month in deductible mortgage interest. The Court will use these figures.
- 27 vi. Petitioner reports earning \$200 per month in dividend income. The Court will use
28 this figure.

29 2) Smith / Ostler Temporary Spousal Support

- 1 a. Effective 2/21/2024, Petitioner shall pay additional spousal support and Respondent shall
2 owe spousal support credits on any compensation they receive that exceeds their
3 respective base pay (as set forth in the attached Dissomaster) pursuant to their respective
4 Annual Bonus Wages Reports attached to the Dissomaster.
- 5 b. By April 30th of each year (commencing 4/30/2025), the parties shall exchange their tax
6 returns filed for the previous calendar year and their end-of-year paystubs and engage in
7 an annual true up support. Any additional support or credits owed between the parties
8 shall be paid no later than May 30th.

9 3) Vocational Evaluation

- 10 a. Petitioner's request that the Court order Respondent to submit to a vocational evaluation
11 is denied without prejudice to a new motion as this request is beyond the scope of the
12 issues set forth in Respondent's 2/21/2024 Request for Order under Family Code section
13 213.

14 4) Seek Work Order

- 15 a. The Court is unable to find that Respondent has thus far used his best efforts to become
16 self-supporting given his work experience, education, and skillset. Effective immediately,
17 Respondent shall abide by the Work Search Order attached to this order.

18 5) Gavron Warning

- 19 a. It is the goal of this state that each party will make reasonable good faith efforts to
20 become self-supporting as provided in Family Code section 4320. Respondent is hereby
21 put on notice that failure to make reasonable good faith efforts to become self-supporting
22 may be one of the factors considered by the Court as a basis for modifying or terminating
23 spousal support.

24 6) Attorney's Fees

- 25 a. According to the attached Dissomaster, after base support is paid, Respondent will have
26 42% of the parties' combined net spendable income and Petitioner will have 58% of the
27 parties' combined net spendable income. Petitioner stated in his Responsive Declaration
28 that during the last 4 years of the parties' marriage, the parties were making equal
29 incomes. Respondent did not refute that statement. The Court does not believe that

1 Petitioner is currently working at his earning capacity given his work experience,
2 education, and skillset. The Court also finds that Petitioner's living expenses are
3 significantly more than Respondent's on account of the fact that Petitioner has remained
4 living in the family residence alone, a fact that appears to have materially benefited
5 Respondent in the sense that Petitioner oversaw various necessary repairs that needed to
6 be done to the home before it can be sold. Regarding the parties' assets, the Court is
7 unable to truly discern which of the parties' assets are community and which are separate.
8 The Court notes, however, that in his Responsive Declaration, Petitioner stated that
9 Respondent responded to discovery requests and stated that of the parties' \$4,000,000 in
10 combined assets, \$2,800,000 belongs to Respondent in the form of separate property, his
11 share of the community property, and reimbursement claims. Regarding the parties'
12 debts, Petitioner reports no debts and Respondent reports owing \$82,000 on a personal
13 line of credit with First Republic Bank. It is unknown why this line of credit was taken
14 out, but in any case it appears that Respondent's separate property assets likely far exceed
15 the balance of this obligation.

- 16 b. At this point in time, the Court does not have sufficient evidence before it make a finding
17 that Petitioner is in a better position to pay for attorney's fees than Respondent.
18 Respondent's request for need-based attorney's fees is denied.
- 19 c. Regarding Petitioner's request that the Court permit each party to take a \$20,000 from
20 their community First Republic Bank account, the Court will leave it to the parties to
21 enter into a stipulated agreement regarding this proposal if Respondent is in agreement.

22 7) Review Hearing

- 23 a. A review hearing is set for Tuesday, 7/16/2024 at 9:00 AM in Dept. 404 on the following
24 issues: (a) recalculate temporary spousal support effective 6/1/2024 (when Respondent
25 states his income will become taxable) and (b) review Petitioner's seek work efforts. At
26 least 20 calendar days prior to the next hearing date, the parties shall file and serve
27 updated Income and Expense Declarations. At least 10 calendar days prior to the next
28 hearing date, the parties shall file and serve declarations updating the Court with respect
29

1 to these issues and shall also file and serve proposed Statements of Support Calculation
2 (as required by Local Rule).

3 8) Preparation of Order

4 a. Respondent's attorney shall prepare the order.

5 b. **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing
6 – within 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to
7 the other party/counsel for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of
8 Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the other party did not appear or the matter was
9 uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to
10 submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a
11 proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule
12 5.125(d).

PREPARED BY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
DISSOMASTER REPORT 2024, Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Thomas	Anders	Guideline (2024)	Cash Flow Analysis	Thomas	Anders
Number of children	0	0	Nets (adjusted)	Guideline		
% time with Second Parent	0%	0%	Thomas	3,990	Payment (cost)/benefit	3,793 (4,171)
Filing status	Single	Single	Anders	15,342	Net spendable income	8,161 11,171
# Federal exemptions	1*	1*	Total	19,332	% combined spendable	42.2% 57.8%
Wages + salary	3,132	0	Support (Nondeductible)		Total taxes	1,105 0
401(k) employee contrib	0	1,064	SS Payor	Anders	Comb. net spendable	19,332
Self-employment income	0	0	Santa Clara	4,171	Proposed	
Other taxable income	2,400	200	Total	4,171	Payment (cost)/benefit	3,793 (4,171)
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Proposed, tactic 9		Net spendable income	8,161 11,171
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	SS Payor	Anders	NSI change from gdl	0 0
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Santa Clara	4,171	% combined spendable	42.2% 57.8%
Ordinary dividends	2,400	200	Total	4,171	% of saving over gdl	0% 0%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Savings	0	Total taxes	1,105 0
Social Security received	0	0	Mother	0	Comb. net spendable	19,332
Unemployment compensation	0	0	Father	0	Percent change	0.0 %
Operating losses	0	0	No releases		Default Case Settings	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0				
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0				
Rental income	0	0				
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0				
Other nontaxable income	0	15,204				
New-spouse income	0	0				
SS paid other marriage	0	0				
CS paid other relationship	0	0				
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0				
9.3% elective PTE payment	0	0				
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0				
Health insurance	437	62				
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0				
Itemized deductions	0	4,896				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	0	1,811				
Ded. interest expense	0	3,085				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	0				

PETITIONER:	Thomas	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Anders	

TANF, SSI and CS received

0

0



PREPARED BY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California	TELEPHONE NO:	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
Thomas Annual Bonus Wages Report 2024 Yearly		CASE NUMBER:

"R" denotes that Thomas is a recipient for the corresponding support
"CS%" is the percentage of Bonus paid as additional Child Support
"SS%" is the percentage of Bonus paid as additional Spousal Support

Total columns indicate the Total support due, support on reported income plus the incremental support due on additional income.

Thomas's Gross Bonus	Basic CS%	Basic CS	Santa Clara SS%	Santa Clara SS	Total Basic CS	Total SS	Total Support CS+SS
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	50,056 R	50,056 R
1,000	0.00	0	29.02	290	0	49,766 R	49,766 R
2,000	0.00	0	29.02	580	0	49,476 R	49,476 R
3,000	0.00	0	29.02	870	0	49,185 R	49,185 R
4,000	0.00	0	29.01	1,161	0	48,895 R	48,895 R
5,000	0.00	0	29.01	1,451	0	48,605 R	48,605 R
6,000	0.00	0	29.01	1,741	0	48,315 R	48,315 R
7,000	0.00	0	29.01	2,031	0	48,025 R	48,025 R
8,000	0.00	0	29.01	2,321	0	47,735 R	47,735 R
9,000	0.00	0	29.01	2,611	0	47,445 R	47,445 R
10,000	0.00	0	29.02	2,902	0	47,154 R	47,154 R
11,000	0.00	0	29.03	3,193	0	46,863 R	46,863 R
12,000	0.00	0	29.03	3,484	0	46,572 R	46,572 R
13,000	0.00	0	29.04	3,775	0	46,281 R	46,281 R
14,000	0.00	0	29.04	4,066	0	45,990 R	45,990 R
15,000	0.00	0	29.05	4,357	0	45,699 R	45,699 R
16,000	0.00	0	29.05	4,648	0	45,408 R	45,408 R
17,000	0.00	0	29.05	4,939	0	45,117 R	45,117 R
18,000	0.00	0	29.06	5,230	0	44,826 R	44,826 R
19,000	0.00	0	29.06	5,521	0	44,535 R	44,535 R
20,000	0.00	0	29.06	5,812	0	44,244 R	44,244 R
21,000	0.00	0	29.06	6,103	0	43,953 R	43,953 R
22,000	0.00	0	29.06	6,394	0	43,662 R	43,662 R
23,000	0.00	0	29.07	6,685	0	43,371 R	43,371 R
24,000	0.00	0	29.07	6,976	0	43,080 R	43,080 R
25,000	0.00	0	29.20	7,299	0	42,757 R	42,757 R
26,000	0.00	0	29.29	7,617	0	42,439 R	42,439 R
27,000	0.00	0	29.38	7,934	0	42,122 R	42,122 R
28,000	0.00	0	29.47	8,251	0	41,805 R	41,805 R
29,000	0.00	0	29.55	8,569	0	41,487 R	41,487 R
30,000	0.00	0	29.62	8,886	0	41,170 R	41,170 R
31,000	0.00	0	29.69	9,203	0	40,853 R	40,853 R
32,000	0.00	0	29.75	9,520	0	40,535 R	40,535 R
33,000	0.00	0	29.81	9,838	0	40,218 R	40,218 R
34,000	0.00	0	29.87	10,155	0	39,901 R	39,901 R

PETITIONER:	Thomas	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Anders	

Thomas Annual Bonus Wages Report, cont'd

Thomas's Gross Bonus	Basic CS%	Basic CS	Santa Clara SS%	Santa Clara SS	Total Basic CS	Total SS	Total Support CS+SS
35,000	0.00	0	29.92	10,472	0	39,584 R	39,584 R
36,000	0.00	0	29.97	10,789	0	39,266 R	39,266 R
37,000	0.00	0	30.02	11,107	0	38,949 R	38,949 R
38,000	0.00	0	30.06	11,424	0	38,632 R	38,632 R
39,000	0.00	0	30.11	11,741	0	38,315 R	38,315 R
40,000	0.00	0	30.15	12,058	0	37,998 R	37,998 R
41,000	0.00	0	30.18	12,375	0	37,681 R	37,681 R
42,000	0.00	0	30.22	12,692	0	37,363 R	37,363 R
43,000	0.00	0	30.25	13,010	0	37,046 R	37,046 R
44,000	0.00	0	30.29	13,327	0	36,729 R	36,729 R
45,000	0.00	0	30.32	13,644	0	36,412 R	36,412 R
46,000	0.00	0	30.35	13,961	0	36,095 R	36,095 R
47,000	0.00	0	30.38	14,278	0	35,778 R	35,778 R
48,000	0.00	0	30.41	14,595	0	35,461 R	35,461 R
49,000	0.00	0	30.43	14,912	0	35,144 R	35,144 R
50,000	0.00	0	30.46	15,229	0	34,827 R	34,827 R
51,000	0.00	0	30.48	15,546	0	34,510 R	34,510 R
52,000	0.00	0	30.51	15,863	0	34,193 R	34,193 R
53,000	0.00	0	30.53	16,180	0	33,876 R	33,876 R
54,000	0.00	0	30.55	16,497	0	33,559 R	33,559 R
55,000	0.00	0	30.57	16,814	0	33,242 R	33,242 R
56,000	0.00	0	30.59	17,131	0	32,925 R	32,925 R
57,000	0.00	0	30.61	17,448	0	32,608 R	32,608 R
58,000	0.00	0	30.63	17,765	0	32,291 R	32,291 R
59,000	0.00	0	30.65	18,082	0	31,974 R	31,974 R
60,000	0.00	0	30.66	18,399	0	31,657 R	31,657 R
61,000	0.00	0	30.68	18,716	0	31,340 R	31,340 R
62,000	0.00	0	30.70	19,033	0	31,023 R	31,023 R
63,000	0.00	0	30.71	19,350	0	30,706 R	30,706 R
64,000	0.00	0	30.73	19,666	0	30,389 R	30,389 R
65,000	0.00	0	30.74	19,983	0	30,072 R	30,072 R
66,000	0.00	0	30.76	20,300	0	29,756 R	29,756 R
67,000	0.00	0	30.77	20,617	0	29,439 R	29,439 R
68,000	0.00	0	30.79	20,934	0	29,122 R	29,122 R
69,000	0.00	0	30.80	21,251	0	28,805 R	28,805 R
70,000	0.00	0	30.81	21,568	0	28,488 R	28,488 R
71,000	0.00	0	30.82	21,884	0	28,171 R	28,171 R

PETITIONER:	Thomas	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Anders	

Thomas Annual Bonus Wages Report, cont'd

Thomas's Gross Bonus	Basic CS%	Basic CS	Santa Clara SS%	Santa Clara SS	Total Basic CS	Total SS	Total Support CS+SS
72,000	0.00	0	30.83	22,201	0	27,855 R	27,855 R
73,000	0.00	0	30.85	22,518	0	27,538 R	27,538 R
74,000	0.00	0	30.86	22,835	0	27,221 R	27,221 R
75,000	0.00	0	30.87	23,151	0	26,904 R	26,904 R
76,000	0.00	0	30.88	23,468	0	26,588 R	26,588 R
77,000	0.00	0	30.89	23,785	0	26,271 R	26,271 R
78,000	0.00	0	30.89	24,096	0	25,959 R	25,959 R
79,000	0.00	0	30.89	24,403	0	25,653 R	25,653 R
80,000	0.00	0	30.89	24,709	0	25,347 R	25,347 R
81,000	0.00	0	30.88	25,015	0	25,041 R	25,041 R
82,000	0.00	0	30.88	25,321	0	24,735 R	24,735 R
83,000	0.00	0	30.88	25,627	0	24,429 R	24,429 R
84,000	0.00	0	30.87	25,933	0	24,123 R	24,123 R
85,000	0.00	0	30.87	26,239	0	23,817 R	23,817 R
86,000	0.00	0	30.87	26,545	0	23,510 R	23,510 R
87,000	0.00	0	30.86	26,851	0	23,204 R	23,204 R
88,000	0.00	0	30.86	27,158	0	22,898 R	22,898 R
89,000	0.00	0	30.86	27,464	0	22,592 R	22,592 R
90,000	0.00	0	30.86	27,770	0	22,286 R	22,286 R
91,000	0.00	0	30.85	28,076	0	21,980 R	21,980 R
92,000	0.00	0	30.85	28,382	0	21,674 R	21,674 R
93,000	0.00	0	30.85	28,688	0	21,368 R	21,368 R
94,000	0.00	0	30.84	28,994	0	21,062 R	21,062 R
95,000	0.00	0	30.84	29,300	0	20,756 R	20,756 R
96,000	0.00	0	30.84	29,606	0	20,450 R	20,450 R
97,000	0.00	0	30.84	29,912	0	20,144 R	20,144 R
98,000	0.00	0	30.83	30,218	0	19,838 R	19,838 R
99,000	0.00	0	30.83	30,524	0	19,532 R	19,532 R
100,000	0.00	0	30.83	30,830	0	19,226 R	19,226 R

PREPARED BY (NAME AND ADDRESS): California	TELEPHONE NO: Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
Anders Annual Bonus Wages Report 2024 Yearly	CASE NUMBER:

"R" denotes that Anders is a recipient for the corresponding support

"CS%" is the percentage of Bonus paid as additional Child Support

"SS%" is the percentage of Bonus paid as additional Spousal Support

Total columns indicate the Total support due, support on reported income plus the incremental support due on additional income.

Anders's Gross Bonus	Basic CS%	Basic CS	Santa Clara SS%	Santa Clara SS	Total Basic CS	Total SS	Total Support CS+SS
0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0	50,056	50,056
1,000	0.00	0	44.03	440	0	50,496	50,496
2,000	0.00	0	42.70	854	0	50,910	50,910
3,000	0.00	0	42.25	1,268	0	51,323	51,323
4,000	0.00	0	42.04	1,682	0	51,738	51,738
5,000	0.00	0	41.37	2,069	0	52,124	52,124
6,000	0.00	0	40.74	2,444	0	52,500	52,500
7,000	0.00	0	40.38	2,827	0	52,882	52,882
8,000	0.00	0	40.11	3,209	0	53,264	53,264
9,000	0.00	0	39.89	3,590	0	53,646	53,646
10,000	0.00	0	39.72	3,972	0	54,028	54,028
11,000	0.00	0	39.58	4,354	0	54,410	54,410
12,000	0.00	0	39.47	4,736	0	54,792	54,792
13,000	0.00	0	39.37	5,119	0	55,174	55,174
14,000	0.00	0	39.40	5,516	0	55,572	55,572
15,000	0.00	0	39.54	5,931	0	55,987	55,987
16,000	0.00	0	39.66	6,345	0	56,401	56,401
17,000	0.00	0	39.76	6,759	0	56,815	56,815
18,000	0.00	0	39.85	7,173	0	57,229	57,229
19,000	0.00	0	39.94	7,588	0	57,644	57,644
20,000	0.00	0	40.01	8,003	0	58,058	58,058
21,000	0.00	0	40.08	8,417	0	58,473	58,473
22,000	0.00	0	40.03	8,806	0	58,862	58,862
23,000	0.00	0	39.81	9,156	0	59,211	59,211
24,000	0.00	0	39.60	9,505	0	59,561	59,561
25,000	0.00	0	39.42	9,855	0	59,911	59,911
26,000	0.00	0	39.25	10,205	0	60,260	60,260
27,000	0.00	0	39.09	10,554	0	60,610	60,610
28,000	0.00	0	38.94	10,904	0	60,960	60,960
29,000	0.00	0	38.81	11,254	0	61,309	61,309
30,000	0.00	0	38.71	11,613	0	61,669	61,669
31,000	0.00	0	38.71	11,999	0	62,055	62,055
32,000	0.00	0	38.70	12,385	0	62,441	62,441
33,000	0.00	0	38.70	12,771	0	62,827	62,827
34,000	0.00	0	38.70	13,158	0	63,213	63,213

PETITIONER:	Thomas	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Anders	

Anders Annual Bonus Wages Report, cont'd

Anders's Gross Bonus	Basic CS%	Basic CS	Santa Clara SS%	Santa Clara SS	Total Basic CS	Total SS	Total Support CS+SS
35,000	0.00	0	38.70	13,544	0	63,600	63,600
36,000	0.00	0	38.69	13,930	0	63,986	63,986
37,000	0.00	0	38.69	14,316	0	64,372	64,372
38,000	0.00	0	38.69	14,703	0	64,758	64,758
39,000	0.00	0	38.69	15,089	0	65,145	65,145
40,000	0.00	0	38.69	15,475	0	65,531	65,531
41,000	0.00	0	38.69	15,861	0	65,917	65,917
42,000	0.00	0	38.68	16,247	0	66,303	66,303
43,000	0.00	0	38.68	16,634	0	66,690	66,690
44,000	0.00	0	38.68	17,020	0	67,076	67,076
45,000	0.00	0	38.68	17,406	0	67,462	67,462
46,000	0.00	0	38.68	17,792	0	67,848	67,848
47,000	0.00	0	38.68	18,179	0	68,235	68,235
48,000	0.00	0	38.68	18,565	0	68,621	68,621
49,000	0.00	0	38.68	18,951	0	69,007	69,007
50,000	0.00	0	38.67	19,337	0	69,393	69,393
51,000	0.00	0	38.67	19,724	0	69,780	69,780
52,000	0.00	0	38.67	20,110	0	70,166	70,166
53,000	0.00	0	38.67	20,496	0	70,552	70,552
54,000	0.00	0	38.67	20,882	0	70,938	70,938
55,000	0.00	0	38.67	21,269	0	71,325	71,325
56,000	0.00	0	38.67	21,655	0	71,711	71,711
57,000	0.00	0	38.67	22,041	0	72,097	72,097
58,000	0.00	0	38.67	22,428	0	72,483	72,483
59,000	0.00	0	38.67	22,814	0	72,870	72,870
60,000	0.00	0	38.67	23,200	0	73,256	73,256
61,000	0.00	0	38.63	23,566	0	73,622	73,622
62,000	0.00	0	38.57	23,910	0	73,966	73,966
63,000	0.00	0	38.50	24,254	0	74,310	74,310
64,000	0.00	0	38.43	24,598	0	74,654	74,654
65,000	0.00	0	38.37	24,942	0	74,998	74,998
66,000	0.00	0	38.31	25,286	0	75,342	75,342
67,000	0.00	0	38.25	25,630	0	75,686	75,686
68,000	0.00	0	38.20	25,974	0	76,030	76,030
69,000	0.00	0	38.14	26,318	0	76,374	76,374
70,000	0.00	0	38.09	26,662	0	76,718	76,718
71,000	0.00	0	38.04	27,006	0	77,062	77,062

PETITIONER:	Thomas	CASE NUMBER:
RESPONDENT:	Anders	

Anders Annual Bonus Wages Report, cont'd

Anders's Gross Bonus	Basic CS%	Basic CS	Santa Clara SS%	Santa Clara SS	Total Basic CS	Total SS	Total Support CS+SS
72,000	0.00	0	37.99	27,350	0	77,406	77,406
73,000	0.00	0	37.93	27,687	0	77,743	77,743
74,000	0.00	0	37.87	28,022	0	78,078	78,078
75,000	0.00	0	37.81	28,358	0	78,414	78,414
76,000	0.00	0	37.75	28,693	0	78,749	78,749
77,000	0.00	0	37.70	29,029	0	79,085	79,085
78,000	0.00	0	37.65	29,364	0	79,420	79,420
79,000	0.00	0	37.59	29,700	0	79,756	79,756
80,000	0.00	0	37.54	30,035	0	80,091	80,091
81,000	0.00	0	37.49	30,371	0	80,427	80,427
82,000	0.00	0	37.45	30,706	0	80,762	80,762
83,000	0.00	0	37.40	31,042	0	81,098	81,098
84,000	0.00	0	37.35	31,378	0	81,434	81,434
85,000	0.00	0	37.31	31,714	0	81,769	81,769
86,000	0.00	0	37.27	32,049	0	82,105	82,105
87,000	0.00	0	37.22	32,385	0	82,441	82,441
88,000	0.00	0	37.18	32,721	0	82,777	82,777
89,000	0.00	0	37.14	33,057	0	83,113	83,113
90,000	0.00	0	37.10	33,393	0	83,449	83,449
91,000	0.00	0	37.06	33,729	0	83,785	83,785
92,000	0.00	0	37.03	34,065	0	84,120	84,120
93,000	0.00	0	36.99	34,400	0	84,456	84,456
94,000	0.00	0	36.95	34,736	0	84,792	84,792
95,000	0.00	0	36.92	35,072	0	85,128	85,128
96,000	0.00	0	36.88	35,408	0	85,464	85,464
97,000	0.00	0	36.85	35,744	0	85,800	85,800
98,000	0.00	0	36.82	36,081	0	86,136	86,136
99,000	0.00	0	36.78	36,417	0	86,473	86,473
100,000	0.00	0	36.75	36,753	0	86,809	86,809

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): Prepared by the Court TELEPHONE NO.: _____ FAX NO.: _____ E-MAIL ADDRESS: _____ ATTORNEY FOR (Name): _____	For court use only CASE NUMBER: FDI-22-797003
Superior Court of California County of San Francisco 400 McAllister Street San Francisco, CA 94102	
Petitioner/Plaintiff: Anders Hoff Respondent/Defendant: Thomas Wei Wang Other Parent:	
WORK SEARCH ORDER	

1. Petitioner Respondent Other Parent is ordered to look for work, **and to:**
- spend a *minimum* of 15 **hours per week in job search related activities.**
 - apply for a *minimum* of **jobs per week.**
 - No more than _____ applications can be done online.
 - Other conditions: _____

You are responsible for maintaining (1) a *written log* of your weekly activities (see local form: weekly search log) and (2) *copies* of all paperwork/correspondence related to your job search (letters, job applications sent, responses, contact information / business cards, etc.)

Activities should include *most or all* of the following:

- A. Networking with personal and professional contacts
- B. Interviewing for information, advice and job leads (“informational interviewing”)
- C. Reviewing prior contacts and following up regularly
- D. Conducting computer research (company, industry, job/current openings)

Examples *include*:

- Company-specific websites
- *Google* searches for relevant industry information
- www.monster.com / www.craigslist.org
- E. Searching local newspaper job ads for relevant openings
- F. Responding to and following up on advertised job openings (online, in-person, newspaper)

- G. Job search-related communication (resume revision, cover letters, following up on job leads, e-mail communications, thank-you notes, etc.)
- H. Contacting/working with placement agencies
- I. Contacting/attending alumni groups
- J.. Attending career counseling / job coaching sessions
- K. Participating in job search clubs and/or job search skills training
- L. Participating in professional organizations
- M. Job skills training (computer/vocational classes relevant to job objective)
- N. Preparing for and attending job interviews
- O. Contacting a union, obtain placement on list, attend roll calls, and track placement on list.

2. You must serve a copy of the logs once per month and within the first 10 days of each month, starting 5/1/2024, to:

- Opposing counsel or party
- Department of Child Support Services (DCSS)
617 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA 94102
-

3. You must bring the signed, original weekly logs and all paperwork/correspondence related to your job search to court with you. Copies of all paperwork/correspondence related to your job search must be available for review if requested by opposing party within 10 days of request.

4. If you find work before the next court date, you must notify opposing party and counsel (if any) and (if checked) the Department of Child Support Services (DCSS), in writing *within ten days*, providing name, address, and telephone number of employer, salary or wage level, job title, copies of any employment contract, hiring letter, or employment agreement, and first month's paycheck stub upon receipt.

5. The court reserves jurisdiction to retroactively modify support to the earliest date permitted by law, and to impose sanctions for any failure to comply with this order, including imputing income.

SPOUSAL SUPPORT: It is the goal of this state that each party will make reasonable good faith efforts to become self-supporting as provided in Family Code §4320. The failure to make reasonable good faith efforts may be one of the factors considered by the court as a basis for modifying or terminating spousal or partner support.

The parties are ordered to return to court for review on _____, at _____, in Dept. _____.

Date: _____
_____ Judge/Commissioner

(This can be completed by hand or re-created in a word processing table or database spreadsheet)

NAME: Hoff v. Wang

CASE # FDI-22-797003

WEEK OF:

DATE	ACTIVITY	Contact/Organization (include name, title and phone number)	RESULTS	Follow Up Steps (describe what you're going to do next and when)	Time Spent (start/end time)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

(signature) _____ (date)

Local Court Form
UFC – 8/1/14

WORK SEARCH ORDER

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 GUILLAUME GARREAU,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 SANA ONAYEVA-GARREAU,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-23-797607

)
) Hearing Date: April 23, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 404

)
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
)
)
)

11
12 REVIEW HEARING RE: 50/50 TIMESHARE; REQUEST FOR ORDER CHANGE OF CHILD
13 CUSTODY, ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS, SEE ATTACHED

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 **The parties are required to appear in-person. Remote appearances are not permitted for this case.**
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 MILDRED PARKER,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 BRELEN PARKER,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-23-797816

)
) Hearing Date: April 23, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 404

)
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
)
)
)

11
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **The parties are ordered present due to the existing restraining order to schedule parenting time**
15 **with Father and the children. The parties may appear in-person, by video, or by phone. If a party**
16 **chooses to appear by video or by phone, that party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for**
17 **Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family Court set forth above.**

18 At the hearing, the Court intends to adopt the following findings and orders.

19 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter,
20 the Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 21 1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child
22 Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.
- 23 2) The matter is on for receipt of Tier II report.
- 24 3) Both sides filed updated declarations.
- 25 4) The Court is pleased and commends the parties in their joint agreement to work with family
26 reunification therapist Dr. Austin Heafey.

- 27 a. Father states he has met with Dr. Heafey and is eager to continue sessions with the goal of
28 reunification with the children.
29

1 b. Mother listed all the dates the children have attended therapy sessions with Dr. Heafey, which
2 demonstrates to the Court her cooperation with reunification therapy by ensuring the children
3 attend their sessions.

4 5) The Court reviewed the Tier II and orders the parties to not discuss the Tier II report with the children
5 or any other third party as it is confidential.

6 a. The Court found the information from the children’s therapists helpful. Being that Liam is
7 15, soon to be 16 in the summer and Peyton is 14, the Court finds it is in the best interest of
8 the children that they continue to be supported by both parents in their therapeutic desires and
9 focusing on their growth as teenagers.

10 b. The Court finds the children seem interested in engaging in therapy to reconnect with their
11 Father, in addition to navigating their lives at school.

12 6) The parties are reminded that Father and the children can communicate with each other without
13 restriction.

14 7) The Court sets a review hearing for an update on family reunification on July 16, 2024 at 9:00AM in
15 Department 404.

16 8) Parties shall file and serve updated declarations 10 days before the next court date.

17 9) Parties are reminded to check the court website for the tentative ruling.

18 10) Mother’s attorney shall prepare the order.

19 11) **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within 10
20 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other party/counsel
21 for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(c), or (b) If the
22 other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the proposed order after hearing
23 directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within 10 days may allow the other
24 party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in accordance with CA Rules of Court,
25 Rule 5.125(d).

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 CARLOS VARGAS,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 LESA HERRERA,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDI-23-798881

)
) Hearing Date: April 23, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 404

)
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
)
)
)

11
12 REQUEST FOR ORDER SPOUSAL OR PARTNER SUPPORT, ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS,
13 PROPERTY CONTROL, 2021 RAM MASTERPRO 2

14 **TENTATIVE RULING**

15 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the
16 Court makes the following findings and orders:

17 **A. Procedural History**

- 18 1) On for hearing is Petitioner's Request for Order filed 11/17/2023 seeking temporary spousal
19 support, \$12,000 in need-based attorney's fees and costs, and exclusive temporary use,
20 possession, and control of one of the vans that was purchased during the parties' marriage (the
21 2021 Ram ProMaster) which Petitioner states he is living in.
- 22 2) On 1/4/2024, Respondent filed a Responsive Declaration asking the Court to deny all of
23 Petitioner's requested orders.
- 24 3) On 1/24/2024, a Stipulation and Order was filed memorializing agreements the parties reached
25 during private mediation with Jessica Metoyer. The agreement requires Respondent to pay to
26 Petitioner \$4,060 per month in temporary spousal support for the months of January, February,
27 and March 2024 without prejudice, meaning "either party has the right to assert that the support
28 should have been lower or higher than the amount agreed." The parties reached "no
29 agreement...as to support after March 2024." The parties also agreed that Petitioner "may

1 continue to use Lesa's van, for which Lesa pays the loan payment and insurance, during the three
2 months of temporary support. Carlos will obtain the warranted work that is needed to repair a
3 faulty panel in the van as soon as possible. If the tires on the van require replacement during this
4 period, Carlos will notify Lesa and inform her of the cost and intended tires he expects to
5 purchase at his expense. Lesa has discretion to select different tires; if the tires Lesa selects are
6 greater in cost, she will pay the difference."

- 7 4) On 4/15/2024, Respondent filed a Supplemental Declaration stating that Petitioner failed to serve
8 his Preliminary Declaration of Disclosure, failed to show up for a mediation session, and
9 requested that the terms of the parties agreement be extended for another month. Respondent also
10 states that Petitioner has not notified her that the agreed upon repair work to the van has been
11 completed. Respondent requests an order that Petitioner return the van in clean and good
12 condition to her Woodland home no later than 4/30/2024 along with all of the possessions that
13 were in it when he assumed use of it (except for his personal effects). Respondent also requests
14 that the Court vacate or deny Petitioner's request for spousal support, attorney's fees, and
15 exclusive use of the van if Petitioner has not filed a Supplemental Declaration and Income and
16 Expense Declaration in advance of the 4/23/2024 hearing.
- 17 5) Petitioner filed an updated Income and Expense Declaration on 4/19/2024.

18 **B. Findings and Orders**

- 19 1) Respondent's request to impute Petitioner with full-time minimum wage income is granted. The
20 Court takes judicial notice of the fact that minimum wage in Berkeley, CA where Respondent
21 lists his current address in his Income and Expense Declaration filed 4/19/2024 is \$18.07 per
22 hour, which averages out to \$3,132 per month.
- 23 2) Effective 11/17/2023 (the date Petitioner filed his Request for Order), Respondent shall pay to
24 Petitioner \$6,702 per month in temporary spousal support in accordance with the Dissomaster
25 attached to Respondent's Support Statement filed 1/4/2024. The Dissomaster calculation is also
26 attached to this order and incorporated herein. One-half shall be due and payable by the 1st and
27 one-half shall be due and payable by the 15th of each month.
- 28 3) Support for April 2024 shall be paid in full no later than 4/26/2024 at 5:00 PM.
- 29

- 1 4) Based on the foregoing, Respondent owes to Petitioner temporary spousal support arrears of
2 \$2,904 for 11/17/2023 – 11/30/2023 and \$26,808 12/1/2023 – 3/31/2024 for a total due and
3 owing of \$29,712 for 11/17/2023 – 3/31/2024. Under the parties’ Stipulation, Respondent has
4 paid to Petitioner \$12,180 for temporary support. Respondent therefore owes to Petitioner a
5 balance of \$17,532. Effective 5/15/2024, Respondent shall pay to Petitioner an additional \$2,922
6 per month by the 15th of each month for 6 months to satisfy these arrears.
- 7 5) Petitioner’s request for attorney’s fees is denied without prejudice to a future request because
8 Petitioner’s former attorney’s declaration filed 11/17/2023 does not explain the nature of the
9 litigation, the fees and costs incurred or anticipated, and why the requested fees and costs are just,
10 necessary and reasonable, as required by California Rules of Court rule 5.427(b)(2).
- 11 6) Petitioner has not filed a Reply Declaration to refute Respondent’s statement that the 2021 Ram
12 ProMaster is her separate property. Without making a finding regarding the ultimate
13 characterization of this vehicle and without prejudice to that issue, the Court finds good cause to
14 order Petitioner to return the 2021 Ram ProMaster to Respondent no later than 5/31/2024 at 5:00
15 PM. The van shall be returned to Respondent in clean and good condition to Respondent’s
16 Woodland home along with all possessions (except for Petitioner’s personal effects) that were in
17 the van when Petitioner previously took possession of it.
- 18 7) Respondent’s attorney shall prepare the order.
- 19 8) **Preparation of Order:** If you are directed by the court to prepare the order after hearing – within
20 10 calendar days of the hearing you must either: (a) Serve the proposed order to the other
21 party/counsel for approval, and follow the procedures set forth in CA Rules of Court, Rule
22 5.125(c), or (b) If the other party did not appear or the matter was uncontested, submit the
23 proposed order after hearing directly to the court. Failure to submit the order after hearing within
24 10 days may allow the other party to prepare a proposed order and submit it to the court in
25 accordance with CA Rules of Court, Rule 5.125(d).
- 26
27
28
29

ATTORNEY (NAME AND ADDRESS): Stacey Poole/Kristine Stewart/Patricia Purcell Lerner Poole & Stewart LLP California ATTORNEY FOR: Husband	TELEPHONE NO: 	Superior Court Of The State of California, County of COURT NAME: STREET ADDRESS: MAILING ADDRESS: BRANCH NAME:
DISSOMASTER REPORT 2024, Monthly		CASE NUMBER:

Input Data	Husband	Wife	Guideline (2024)	Cash Flow Analysis	Husband	Wife
Number of children	0	0	Nets (adjusted)	Guideline		
% time with Second Parent	0%	0%	Husband	2,131	Payment (cost)/benefit	6,202 (6,079)
Filing status	Single	Single	Wife	19,290	Net spendable income	8,833 12,588
# Federal exemptions	1*	1*	Total	21,421	% combined spendable	41.2% 58.8%
Wages + salary	3,132	0	Support (Nondeductible)	Total taxes	1,001	10,590
401(k) employee contrib	0	0	SS Payor	Wife	Comb. net spendable	21,421
Self-employment income	0	0	Santa Clara	6,702	Proposed	
Other taxable income	0	31,606	Total	6,702	Payment (cost)/benefit	6,202 (6,079)
Short-term cap. gains	0	0	Proposed, tactic 9		Net spendable income	8,833 12,588
Long-term cap. gains	0	0	SS Payor	Wife	NSI change from gdl	0 0
Other gains (and losses)	0	0	Santa Clara	6,702	% combined spendable	41.2% 58.8%
Ordinary dividends	0	45	Total	6,702	% of saving over gdl	0% 0%
Tax. interest received	0	0	Savings	0	Total taxes	1,001 10,590
Social Security received	0	0	No releases		Comb. net spendable	21,421
Unemployment compensation	0	0			Percent change	0.0%
Operating losses	0	0			Default Case Settings	
Ca. operating loss adj.	0	0				
Roy, partnerships, S corp, trusts	0	0				
Rental income	0	31,561				
Misc ordinary tax. inc.	0	0				
Other nontaxable income	0	0				
New-spouse income	0	0				
SS paid other marriage	0	0				
CS paid other relationship	0	0				
Adj. to income (ATI)	0	0				
Ptr Support Pd. other P'ships	0	0				
Health insurance	0	1,726				
Qual. Bus. Inc. Ded.	0	0				
Itemized deductions	0	0				
Other medical expenses	0	0				
Property tax expenses	0	0				
Ded. interest expense	0	0				
Charitable contribution	0	0				
Miscellaneous itemized	0	0				
State sales tax paid	0	0				
Required union dues	0	0				
Cr. for Pd. Sick and Fam. L.	0	0				
Mandatory retirement	0	0				
Hardship deduction	0*	0*				
Other gdl. adjustments	0	0				
AMT info (IRS Form 6251)	0	0				
Child support add-ons	0	0				
TANF, SSI and CS received	0	0				

EXHIBIT A - 1 of 1

1 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT
4

5
6 SAVOKIA A SPIGNOR,

7 Petitioner

8 VS.

9 DARNELL E WILSON,

10 Respondent

)
) Case Number: FDV-15-811633

)
) Hearing Date: April 23, 2024

)
) Hearing Time: 9:00 AM

)
) Department: 404

)
) Presiding: MICHELLE TONG
)
)
)
)

11
12 OTHER REVIEW HEARING

13 **TENTATIVE RULING**

14 **Parties are ordered to appear in person or via zoom with their video feed activated to explain to**
15 **the Court why visits with Father are not occurring. If a party chooses to appear remotely, that**
16 **party must abide by the Notice and Instructions for Remote Appearances in San Francisco Family**
17 **Court set forth above.**

18 At the hearing, the Court intends to adopt the following findings and orders.

19 Having read and considered the pleadings, declarations, and other evidence submitted in this matter,
20 the Court makes the following findings and orders:

- 21 1) This Court has jurisdiction to make child custody orders in this case under the Uniform Child
22 Custody Jurisdiction and Enforcement Act.
- 23 2) The matter is on to review Father's parenting time.
- 24 3) Father filed an updated declaration detailing the repeated missed video calls and in person visits with
25 the children that were ordered by the Court.
- 26 4) The Court ordered Mother to file an updated declaration. Mother failed to file a declaration.
- 27 5) The Court has concerns Mother is not acting in the best interest of the children and is intentionally
28 disobeying Court orders by interfering with Father's time with the children.
29

- 1 6) The Court orders Mother to follow the existing visitation schedule that has been in effect since
2 Monday October 16, 2023 as follows:
- 3 a. Phone and / or video calls with the children to Taft's phone before school from 7:00AM to
4 8:00AM and 7:00PM to 9:00PM.
 - 5 b. Alternating Mondays from 5:00PM to 7:00PM effective Monday October 16, 2023 – Father
6 will pick up and drop off the children at the fishing ramp by Chase Center, 1 Warriors Way in
7 San Francisco.
 - 8 c. The Court orders Father's next Monday in person visit is Monday April 22, 2024.
 - 9 d. The Court orders Father's to enjoy parenting time with the children on Father's Day, Sunday
10 June 16, 2024 from noon to 7:00PM. Exchange will be at the same location.
 - 11 e. The Court orders Father to unblock Taft and Mother's phone number.
 - 12 f. The DV restraining order was modified to allow an exception of contact between the two
13 parties and outside Mother's house about the children only.
- 14 7) The Court will schedule an order to show cause and initiate contempt proceedings if Mother does not
15 allow Father to enjoy his parenting time.
- 16 8) The Court sets a review hearing for Tuesday June 18, 2024 in Department 404 at 9:00AM for an
17 update on Father's parenting time or an order to show cause and to set contempt proceedings if
18 Mother continues to disobey Court orders.
- 19 9) The Court expects Father to have had six in person visits by the next court date.
- 20 10) Parties are ordered to file and serve the other parent updated declarations 10 days before the next
21 court date.
- 22 11) Parties are ordered to appear in person to Court at the next court date. Remote appearances will not
23 be allowed.
- 24 12) The Court will prepare the order.
- 25
26
27
28
29